IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

C.R. No. 2461 of 2007

DATE OF DECISION : 08.05.2007

Ramesh Mangal and others

.... PETITIONERS

Versus

Sohan Lal

..... RESPONDENT

CORAM :- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SATISH KUMAR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Amit Rawal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

The landlords have filed this revision petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for issuing direction to the Appellate Authority to decide the appeal filed by the respondent-tenant against the order of ejectment dated 7.1.2006, passed by the Rent Controller, expeditiously.

In the petition, it has been stated that in the year 2002, petitioners had filed ejectment application against the respondent seeking his eviction on the ground of personal necessity. After taking into consideration the evidence led by the parties, the Rent Controller allowed the ejectment application and ordered ejectment of the respondent-tenant on the ground of personal necessity. Against that order, the respondent-tenant has filed appeal before the Appellate Authority, in which the order of eviction has been stayed.

While referring to the various zimni orders, re-produced in the petition, counsel for the petitioners submits that the appeal is not being heard and the same is being adjourned on one pretext or the other. Counsel contends that petitioners are in need of the demised premises for their use and occupation and the matter is being delayed un-necessarily, therefore, a prayer has been made for issuing direction to the Appellate Authority to decide the appeal expeditiously.

After hearing counsel for the petitioners and going through the contents of the petition and the various zimni orders, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the Appellate Authority to decide the appeal filed by the respondent-tenant against the order of the Rent Controller, expeditiously, and preferably within a period of six months.

May 08, 2007 **(SATISH KUMAR MITTAL)**
ndj **JUDGE**